arXiv:1507.05735v2 [math.CV] 23 Jul 2015

Cohomology groups of sections of homogeneous line bundles over a toroidal group

Yukitaka Abe

Abstract
We completely determine cohomology groups of sections of homogeneous line bundles over a toroidal group. [1] [2]

1 Introduction

A toroidal group is a connected complex Lie group without non-constant holomorphic functions. Such a group appears as the steinizer of a complex Lie group ([8], [9]). It is well-known that a toroidal group is commutative. Then it is isomorphic to a quotient group $\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ by a discrete subgroup Γ. A complex torus is a compact toroidal group. By the Remmert-Morimoto theorem ([7] and [9]) every connected commutative complex Lie group is isomorphic to the product of copies of $\mathbb{C}$, copies of $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and a toroidal group. A toroidal group plays an important role in the study of complex Lie groups. Moreover the relations to the number theory are known (cf. [2], [3]).

Let X be a toroidal group. The cohomology groups $H^p(X, \mathcal{O})$ $(p \geq 1)$ were completely determined by Kazama ([5]). The next problem is to determine $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L))$ for any holomorphic line bundle L over X. If X is compact, i.e. a complex torus, then we know the cohomology groups $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L))$ for any L. The general result is known as the Index theorem. In this case we need tools which are valid for compact Kähler manifolds. Unfortunately, they are not applicable to non-compact toroidal groups.

In this paper we consider homogeneous line bundles L over a toroidal group $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ with $\operatorname{rank}\Gamma = n + m$. It is known that X has the structure of principal $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m}$-bundle $\mu : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ over an m-dimensional complex torus $\mathbb{T}$. We determine the cohomology groups $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L))$ for $p \geq 1$. The following three cases occur when L is not analytically trivial:
(1) $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L)) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$,
(2) $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L)) \cong H^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{O})$ for $p \geq 1$,
(3) $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L))$ is a non-Hausdorff Fréchet space, then, of infinite dimension for $1 \leq p \leq m$
(Theorem 9.1). It seems to us that this result is the first step beyond the case of $H^p(X, \mathcal{O})$. When X is a complex torus, we know $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L)) = 0$ $(p \geq 1)$ for any homogeneous line bundle L over X which is not analytically trivial. Our

---

[1] **Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 32L10 (primary), 32M05 (secondary)
[2] **keywords:** Cohomology groups, Homogeneous line bundles, Toroidal groups

method gives another proof of this fact. We give examples which show that each of the above cases really occurs.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state standard coordinates and real coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the relation between them. In Section 3 we collect some facts about homogeneous line bundles. In Section 4 we introduce sheaves $\mathcal{F}^{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{r,s}(L)$, and give Dolbeault-Kazama isomorphisms. Every Γ-periodic C^∞ function on $\mathbb{C}^n$ which is holomorphic with respect to the last $n-m$ variables has the Fourier expansion. In Section 5 we explain the properties of such Fourier expansions and their derivatives. The spaces $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{r,s})$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{r,s}(L))$ are isomorphic as Fréchet spaces. Using these isomorphisms, we translate $\overline{\partial}$-equations to certain equations of Γ-periodic differential forms in Section 6. In Section 7 we obtain formal solutions of the above equations. Then it suffices to consider the convergence of formal solutions. We give conditions for the convergence in Section 8. Finally we prove the main result in Section 9. In the last section we construct examples.

2 Preliminaries

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ be a toroidal group with $\operatorname{rank}\Gamma = n + m$. We use standard coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ for X and a period matrix P of X in the first normal form as

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & S \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_n is the unit matrix of degree n and S is a complex (n, m)-matrix with $\det(\operatorname{Im}(S_1)) \neq 0$. The matrix S satisfies the irrationality condition

(IS) for any $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ we have $\tau S \notin \mathbb{Z}^m$

in standard coordinates because X is a toroidal group (cf. [4]). We write $\begin{pmatrix} I_n & S \end{pmatrix} = (e_1, \dots, e_n, s_1, \dots, s_m)$. For $j = m+1, \dots, n$ we set $s_j := \sqrt{-1}e_j$. Then $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ over $\mathbb{R}$. We take real coordinates $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{2n})$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n z_i e_i = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i e_i + \sum_{i=1}^n t_{n+i} s_i.$$

A column vector s_j is written as $s_j = {}^t(s_{1j}, \dots, s_{nj})$. We set $A := (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ and $B := (b_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$, where $a_{ij} := \operatorname{Re}(s_{ij})$ and $b_{ij} := \operatorname{Im}(s_{ij})$. We note that $a_{ij} = 0$ if $1 \le i \le n$ and $m+1 \le j \le n$. Let $C = (c_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n} := B^{-1}$. Then we have $c_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \le i \le n$ and $m+1 \le j \le n$. We write $z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1}y_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ as usual. Then we have the relations

$$t_j = x_j - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n a_{j\ell} c_{\ell k} \right) y_k \quad \text{and} \quad t_{n+j} = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{jk} y_k \tag{2.1}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} + \sqrt{-1} \left(-\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_{k\ell} c_{\ell j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} + \sum_{k=1}^n c_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{n+k}} \right) \right\} \tag{2.2}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}^m/\Lambda$ be an m-dimensional complex torus, where Λ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^m$ with period matrix $\begin{pmatrix} I_m & S_1 \end{pmatrix}$. The toroidal group X has the structure of principal $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m}$-bundle $\mu : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ over $\mathbb{T}$ by the projection $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \longmapsto (z_1, \ldots, z_m)$.

3 Homogeneous line bundles

For any x in a toroidal group $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ we define a translation $T_x : X \longrightarrow X,\ y \mapsto y + x$.

Definition 3.1. A holomorphic line bundle L over X is said to be homogeneous if L and $T_x^* L$ is analytically isomorphic for any $x \in X$.

A homomorphism $\rho : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is called a (1-dimensional) representation of Γ. Since it is considered as a factor of automorphy, it defines a holomorphic line bundle over X. It is obvious by definition that if L is a holomorphic line bundle given by a representation of Γ, then it is homogeneous. When X is a complex torus, it is well-known that for a holomorphic line bundle L over X the following statements are equivalent:
(1) L is topologically trivial.
(2) L is given by a representation of Γ.
(3) L is homogeneous.
The above equivalence does not hold for a toroidal group in general. However we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2 (Abe [1]). *Let L be a holomorphic line bundle over a toroidal group $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$. Then L is homogeneous if and only if it is given by a representation of* Γ.

Throughout this paper we assume that L is a homogeneous line bundle over a toroidal group $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ given by a representation $\rho : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ of Γ. Then there exists a homomorphism $d : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\rho(\gamma) = \mathbf{e}(d(\gamma))$ $(\gamma \in \Gamma)$, where $\mathbf{e}(*) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}*)$.

Lemma 3.3. *The homomorphism $d : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is equivalent to a homomorphism $\tilde{d} : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\tilde{d}(e_{m+j}) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n-m$ as summands of automorphy.*

Proof. First we may assume $d(e_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ because $\rho = \mathbf{e}(d)$ gives a topologically trivial holomorphic line bundle. We define a discrete subgroup $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of rank $2n$ by

$$\widetilde{\Gamma} := \Gamma \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-m} \mathbb{Z} s_{m+j}.$$

Putting $d(s_{m+j}) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n-m$, we extend d to a homomorphism $d : \widetilde{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore it is extended to an $\mathbb{R}$-linear mapping $d : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If we set $k := \mathrm{Im}(d)$, then $k : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear mapping such that

$$k(e_j) = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$$

and

$$k(s_{m+j}) = k(\sqrt{-1} e_{m+j}) = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n-m.$$

We define a $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $\ell : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\ell(v) := k(\sqrt{-1}v) + \sqrt{-1}k(v), \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Set $\tilde{d}(\gamma) := d(\gamma) - \ell(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\tilde{d} : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a homomorphism satisfying $\tilde{d}(e_{m+j}) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n-m$. Since ℓ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, d and $\tilde{d}$ are equivalent. ☐

From now on we assume that the representation $\rho = \mathbf{e}(d)$ is given by a homomorphism $d : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having the properties in Lemma 3.3.

4 Dolbeault-Kazama isomorphisms

Consider the structure of principal $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m}$-bundle $\mu : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ stated in Section 2. We write standard coordinates $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ as $z = (z', z'')$, where $z' = (z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ and $z'' = (z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_n)$. The $\overline{\partial}$-operator is decomposed as $\overline{\partial} = \overline{\partial}_1 + \overline{\partial}_2$, where $\overline{\partial}_1$ is the $\overline{\partial}$-operator with respect to z', and $\overline{\partial}_2$ is the one with respect to z''. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the sheaf of germs of C^∞ functions on X which are holomorphic along the fibers $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m}$, and let $\mathcal{F}^{r,s}$ be the sheaf of (r, s)-forms with respect to $\{dz_1, \ldots, dz_m, d\overline{z}_1, \ldots, d\overline{z}_m\}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}$. Similarly we denote by $\mathcal{F}(L)$ the sheaf of germs of C^∞ functions with valued in L which are holomorphic along the fibers. Let $\mathcal{F}^{r,s}(L)$ be the sheaf of (r, s)-forms with respect to $\{dz_1, \ldots, dz_m, d\overline{z}_1, \ldots, d\overline{z}_m\}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}(L)$.

The following proposition is due to Kazama and Umeno (Lemma 1.1 in [6]).

Proposition 4.1. *For any r, s we have*

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{F}^{r,s}(L)) = 0, \quad p \geq 1.$$

We have a resolution of $\mathcal{O}(L)$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(L) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_1} \mathcal{F}^{0,1}(L) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_1} \mathcal{F}^{0,m}(L) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.1}$$

By Proposition 4.1 we obtain the Dolbeault-Kazama isomorphisms

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L)) \cong \frac{Z_{\overline{\partial}_1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L))}{B_{\overline{\partial}_1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L))} \tag{4.2}$$

for $p \geq 1$, where we set

$$Z_{\overline{\partial}_1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L)) := \{\varphi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L)); \overline{\partial}_1\varphi = 0\}$$

and $B_{\overline{\partial}_1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L)) := \overline{\partial}_1 H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p-1}(L))$.

5 Fourier expansion

We can identify $H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ with the space of all Γ-periodic C^∞ functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ which are holomorphic with respect to $z'' = (z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_n)$. Real coordinates $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_{2n})$ are written as $t = (t', t'')$, where $t' = (t_1, \ldots, t_{n+m})$ and $t'' =$

$(t_{n+m+1}, \dots, t_{2n})$. Let $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$. Since $\partial f/\partial \overline{z}_{m+j} = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-m$, we have the following Fourier expansion of f:

$$f(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}} a^\sigma \exp\left(-2\pi \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \sigma_i t_{n+i}\right) \mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma, t' \rangle), \tag{5.1}$$

where a^σ is a complex number and $\langle \sigma, t' \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \sigma_i t_i$. The following lemma follows from the well-known result for Fourier coefficients of C^∞ functions.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\{a^\sigma; \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers. We consider a formal series*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}} a^\sigma \exp\left(-2\pi \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \sigma_i t_{n+i}\right) \mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma, t' \rangle).$$

Then the series converges to a function in $H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ if and only if for any $R > 0$ and any $k > 0$ we have

$$\sup\{|a^\sigma| R^{\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} |\sigma_i|} |\sigma|^k; \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}\} < \infty.$$

We write $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$ as $\sigma = (\sigma', \sigma'', \sigma''')$, where $\sigma' = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$, $\sigma'' = (\sigma_{m+1}, \dots, \sigma_n)$ and $\sigma''' = (\sigma_{n+1}, \dots, \sigma_{n+m})$. If we set

$$\begin{aligned} f^\sigma(t) &:= a^\sigma \exp\left(-2\pi \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \sigma_i t_{n+i}\right) \mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma, t' \rangle) \\ &= a^\sigma \mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma, t' \rangle + \sqrt{-1}\langle \sigma'', t'' \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

then (5.1) is rewritten as

$$f(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}} f^\sigma(t). \tag{5.2}$$

By (2.2) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f^\sigma}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(t) = \pi \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{kj} K_{\sigma,k} f^\sigma(t) \tag{5.3}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$, where we set

$$K_{\sigma,k} := \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sigma_\ell s_{\ell k} - \sigma_{n+k} \tag{5.4}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m$. We note

$$K_\sigma := (K_{\sigma,1}, \dots, K_{\sigma,m}) = (\sigma', \sigma'')S - \sigma''' \tag{5.5}$$

for $\sigma = (\sigma', \sigma'', \sigma''') \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$. Put

$$\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j} := \pi \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} c_{\ell j} K_{\sigma,\ell}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. We rewrite (5.3) as

$$\frac{\partial f^\sigma}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(t) = \widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j} f^\sigma(t) \tag{5.6}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\widetilde{K}_\sigma := (\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,1}, \dots, \widetilde{K}_{\sigma,m})$. Then we have the relation

$$\widetilde{K}_\sigma = \pi K_\sigma (c_{jk})_{1 \le j,k \le m}. \tag{5.7}$$

6 Translation of $\overline{\partial}_1$-equations

Let L be a homogeneous line bundle over a toroidal group $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ with $\operatorname{rank}\Gamma = n+m$. It is given by a representation $\rho = \mathbf{e}(d)$ of Γ, where $d : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a homomorphism having the properties in Lemma 3.3. We define a linear polynomial $a(t)$ in t by

$$a(t) := -\sum_{i=1}^{m} d(e_i)t_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} d(s_i)t_{n+i}. \tag{6.1}$$

It is easy to check that

$$a(t+\gamma) + d(\gamma) - a(t) = 0 \tag{6.2}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. By (2.2) we obtain $\partial a/\partial \overline{z}_i = 0$ for $i = m+1, \ldots, n$. Then we have $a \in H^0(\mathbb{C}^n, \pi^*\mathcal{F})$, where $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow X$ is the projection. We set

$$F(t) := \mathbf{e}(a(t)).$$

We can consider $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{r,s})$, $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{r,s}(L))$ and $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L))$ as Fréchet spaces in the usual manner. Then the multiplicity by $F(t)$ gives isomorphisms between Fréchet spaces

$$F : H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{r,s}(L)) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{r,s}), \quad \varphi \longmapsto F\varphi$$

by (6.2). We set

$$G(t) := F(t)^{-1} = \mathbf{e}(-a(t)).$$

Then, for any $\varphi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L))$ there exists uniquely $\phi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p})$ such that

$$\varphi = G\phi. \tag{6.3}$$

By a straight calculation using (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}-a(t) = {}& \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(d(e_j) - \sqrt{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d(s_i)c_{ij} - d(e_j)\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{ik}c_{kj}\right)\right) z_j \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(d(e_j) + \sqrt{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d(s_i)c_{ij} - d(e_j)\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{ik}c_{kj}\right)\right) \overline{z}_j.\end{aligned}$$

Then, setting

$$\alpha_j := d(e_j) - \sqrt{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d(s_i)c_{ij} - d(e_i)\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{ik}c_{kj}\right),$$

we have

$$-a(t) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{m}(\alpha_j z_j + \overline{\alpha}_j \overline{z}_j). \tag{6.4}$$

We denote

$$A_1 := (a_{ik})_{1\le i,k\le m} \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 := (c_{kj})_{1\le k,j\le m}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m) = \quad & (d(e_1),\dots,d(e_m)) \\ & -\sqrt{-1}\left\{(d(s_1),\dots,d(s_m)) - (d(e_1),\dots,d(e_m))A_1\right\}C_1.\end{aligned}$$

Operating $\overline{\partial}_1$ on $G(t)$, we obtain

$$\overline{\partial}_1 G = \sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\partial G}{\partial \overline{z}_j}d\overline{z}_j = \pi\sqrt{-1}G\sum_{j=1}^{m}\overline{\alpha}_j d\overline{z}_j.$$

We set $\Phi_0 := \sum_{j=1}^{m}\beta_j d\overline{z}_j$, where $\beta_j := \pi\sqrt{-1}\overline{\alpha}_j$. Then we have

$$\overline{\partial}_1 G = G\Phi_0. \tag{6.5}$$

By the relation (6.3) we obtain

$$\overline{\partial}_1\varphi = G(\Phi_0\wedge\phi + \overline{\partial}_1\phi). \tag{6.6}$$

Therefore $\overline{\partial}_1\varphi = 0$ if and only if

$$\Phi_0\wedge\phi + \overline{\partial}_1\phi = 0. \tag{6.7}$$

We assume that there exists $\eta \in H^0(X,\mathcal{F}^{0,p-1}(L))$ with $\overline{\partial}_1\eta = \varphi$. Then we can take $\psi \in H^0(X,\mathcal{F}^{0,p-1})$ such that $\eta = G\psi$ and

$$\phi = \Phi_0\wedge\psi + \overline{\partial}_1\psi \tag{6.8}$$

by (6.6). Therefore the problem to find η with $\overline{\partial}_1\eta = \varphi$ for $\varphi \in Z_{\overline{\partial}_1}(X,\mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L))$ is translated to the following problem.

Problem. For any $\phi \in H^0(X,\mathcal{F}^{0,p})$ satisfying (6.7), does there exist $\psi \in H^0(X,\mathcal{F}^{0,p-1})$ such that the equation (6.8) holds?

7 Formal solutions

We may assume that the homogeneous line bundle L is not analytically trivial. Then we have $a(t) \neq 0$. This means $(\overline{\alpha}_1,\dots,\overline{\alpha}_m) \neq (0,\dots,0)$. Hence we have

$$(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m) = \pi\sqrt{-1}(\overline{\alpha}_1,\dots,\overline{\alpha}_m) \neq (0,\dots,0).$$

Consider equations

$$\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j} + \beta_j = 0, \quad j = 1,\dots,m. \tag{7.1}$$

It is easily seen that (7.1) is equivalent to

$$K_\sigma C_1 + \sqrt{-1}(\overline{\alpha}_1,\dots,\overline{\alpha}_m) = (0,\dots,0). \tag{7.2}$$

Furthermore, (7.2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases}(\sigma',\sigma'')\mathrm{Re}(S) + \sigma''' + (\mathrm{Im}(\alpha_1),\dots,\mathrm{Im}(\alpha_m))C_1^{-1} = 0, \\ (\sigma',\sigma'')\mathrm{Im}(S) + (\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_1),\dots,\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_m))C_1^{-1} = 0\end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

by (5.5). We note that (7.3) does not hold for $\sigma = 0$.

Lemma 7.1. *If there exists* $\sigma_0 = (\sigma_0', \sigma_0'', \sigma_0''') \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \setminus \{0\}$ *satisfying (7.3), then it is unique.*

Proof. Suppose that $\sigma = (\sigma', \sigma'', \sigma''') \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \setminus \{0\}$ also satisfies (7.3). Then we have

$$(\sigma' - \sigma_0', \sigma'' - \sigma_0'')S + \sigma''' - \sigma_0''' = 0.$$

By the irrationality condition (IS) we obtain $\sigma - \sigma_0 = 0$. $\square$

We define

$$Z := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \setminus \{\sigma_0\} & \text{if there exists } \sigma_0 \text{ with (7.3)}, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$ we denote by $j(\sigma)$ the smallest integer j satisfying

$$|\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j} + \beta_j| = \max\{|\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,k} + \beta_k|; k = 1, \ldots, m\}.$$

We note $j(\sigma_0) = 1$ for σ_0 satisfying (7.3).

Every $\phi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p})$ has the Fourier expansion

$$\phi = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}} \phi^\sigma, \quad \phi^\sigma = \mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma, t' \rangle + \sqrt{-1}(\langle \sigma'', t'' \rangle)\phi_c^\sigma, \tag{7.4}$$

where ϕ_c^σ is a $(0,p)$-form with constant coefficients. For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$ we have the unique representation

$$\phi^\sigma = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{p-1} \le m} \left(\phi^\sigma_{j(\sigma) i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_{j(\sigma)} + \sum_{j \notin \{j(\sigma), i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\}} \phi^\sigma_{j i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_j \right) \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}}, \tag{7.5}$$

where

$$\phi^\sigma_{k i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} = a^\sigma_{k i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma, t' \rangle + \sqrt{-1}\langle \sigma'', t'' \rangle), \quad a^\sigma_{k i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Here we mean that $\phi^\sigma_{j(\sigma) i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} = 0$ if $j(\sigma) \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\}$. Since

$$\overline{\partial}_1 \phi^\sigma = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{p-1} \le m} \left\{ \sum_{j \notin \{j(\sigma), i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\}} \left(\frac{\partial \phi^\sigma_{j i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j(\sigma)}} - \frac{\partial \phi^\sigma_{j(\sigma) i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}}}{\partial \overline{z}_j} \right) \times d\overline{z}_{j(\sigma)} \wedge d\overline{z}_j \right\} \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_0 \wedge \phi^\sigma + \overline{\partial}_1 \phi^\sigma = & \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{p-1} \le m} \left\{ \sum_{j \notin \{j(\sigma), i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\}} \left(\frac{\partial \phi^\sigma_{j i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j(\sigma)}} \right.\right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\partial \phi^\sigma_{j(\sigma) i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}}}{\partial \overline{z}_j} + \beta_{j(\sigma)} \phi^\sigma_{j i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} - \beta_j \phi^\sigma_{j(\sigma) i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \right) \\ & \left. \times d\overline{z}_{j(\sigma)} \wedge d\overline{z}_j + \sum_{\substack{j,k \notin \{j(\sigma), i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\} \\ j \ne k}} \beta_k \phi^\sigma_{j i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_k \wedge d\overline{z}_j \right\} \\ & \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

It follows from (5.6) that

$$\frac{\partial \phi^\sigma_{j i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}}}{\partial \overline{z}_k} = \widetilde{K}_{\sigma,k} \phi^\sigma_{j i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}}.$$

If ϕ satisfies (6.7), then

$$(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)}) \phi^\sigma_{j i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} = (\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j} + \beta_j) \phi^\sigma_{j(\sigma) i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \tag{7.7}$$

for $j \notin \{j(\sigma), i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\}$ and

$$\beta_k \phi^\sigma_{j i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} = 0 \tag{7.8}$$

for $j, k \notin \{j(\sigma), i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\}$ with $j \ne k$.

Assume that there exists $\psi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p-1})$ satisfying (6.8). We have the expansion $\psi = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}} \psi^\sigma$ as (7.4). Each ψ^σ has the representation

$$\psi^\sigma = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{p-1} \le m} \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}}, \tag{7.9}$$

where

$$\psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} = b^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma, t' \rangle + \sqrt{-1} \langle \sigma'', t'' \rangle), \quad b^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\partial}_1 \psi^\sigma = & \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{p-1} \le m} \left(\frac{\partial \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j(\sigma)}} d\overline{z}_{j(\sigma)} + \sum_{j \notin \{j(\sigma), i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\}} \frac{\partial \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}}}{\partial \overline{z}_j} d\overline{z}_j \right) \\ & \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Phi_0 \wedge \psi^\sigma = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{p-1} \le m} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_k \right) \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}},$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}}}{\partial \overline{z}_{j(\sigma)}} + \beta_{j(\sigma)} \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} = \phi^\sigma_{j(\sigma) i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \tag{7.10}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \psi^{\sigma}_{i_1\dots i_{p-1}}}{\partial \overline{z}_j} + \beta_j \psi^{\sigma}_{i_1\dots i_{p-1}} = \phi^{\sigma}_{j i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} \tag{7.11}$$

for $j \notin \{j(\sigma), i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}\}$. It follows from (5.6) that (7.10) and (7.11) are equivalent to

$$(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)})\psi^{\sigma}_{i_1\dots i_{p-1}} = \phi^{\sigma}_{j(\sigma) i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} \tag{7.12}$$

and

$$(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j} + \beta_j)\psi^{\sigma}_{i_1\dots i_{p-1}} = \phi^{\sigma}_{j i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} \tag{7.13}$$

for $j \notin \{j(\sigma), i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}\}$, respectively. Then for any $\sigma \in Z$ and any $i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}$ with $1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_{p-1} \le m$ we set

$$\psi^{\sigma}_{i_1\dots i_{p-1}} := \frac{\phi^{\sigma}_{j(\sigma) i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}}{\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)}}. \tag{7.14}$$

We note that $\{\psi^{\sigma}_{i_1\dots i_{p-1}}\}$ satisfy (7.13) for $\{\phi^{\sigma}_{j i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}\}$ have the property (7.7). We define ψ^{σ} by (7.9). We consider the formal sum

$$\psi := \sum_{\sigma \in Z} \psi^{\sigma}. \tag{7.15}$$

Then, if $Z = \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$, then we have

$$\phi = \Phi_0 \wedge \psi + \overline{\partial}_1 \psi \tag{7.16}$$

and if $Z = \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \setminus \{\sigma_0\}$, then we have

$$\phi = \Phi_0 \wedge \psi + \overline{\partial}_1 \psi + \phi^{\sigma_0}, \tag{7.17}$$

where

$$\phi^{\sigma_0} = \mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma_0, t' \rangle + \sqrt{-1}\langle \sigma_0'', t'' \rangle)\phi^{\sigma_0}_c.$$

8 Conditions

We define $d(L) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ for any homogeneous line bundle L over a toroidal group X by

$$d(L) := \sqrt{-1}(\overline{\alpha}_1, \dots, \overline{\alpha}_m) C_1^{-1}.$$

Then we have

$$(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,1} + \beta_1, \dots, \widetilde{K}_{\sigma,m} + \beta_m) = \pi(K_\sigma + d(L))C_1. \tag{8.1}$$

Noting $K_\sigma + d(L) = -\sigma''' + d(L) \neq 0$ for any $\sigma \in Z$ with $(\sigma', \sigma'') = (0,0)$, we set

$$m_0 := \min\{\| -\sigma''' + d(L)\| ; (0,0,\sigma''') \in Z\}.$$

Then we have

$$m_0 \le \|K_\sigma + d(L)\| \tag{8.2}$$

for any $\sigma = (0,0,\sigma''') \in Z$. We consider the following condition $(H)_S$:
$(H)_S$ There exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\|K_\sigma + d(L)\| \ge r^{-|(\sigma', \sigma'')|}$$

for all $\sigma \in Z$ with $(\sigma', \sigma'') \neq (0,0)$.

Lemma 8.1. *The condition* $(H)_S$ *is equivalent to the following condition* $(H)'_S$*:*
$(H)'_S$ *There exist constants* $C > 0$ *and* $a \geq 0$ *such that*

$$\|K_\sigma + d(L)\| \geq C \exp(-a|(\sigma', \sigma'')|)$$

for all $\sigma \in Z$ *with* $(\sigma', \sigma'') \neq (0, 0)$*.*

Proof. Let $r > 0$ be a constant for which the condition $(H)_S$ is satisfied. For any r' with $r' \geq r$ the condition $(H)_S$ holds. Therefore we may assume $r \geq 1$. Then the condition $(H)'_S$ holds for $C = 1$ and $a := \log r$.

Conversely, we suppose that the condition $(H)'_S$ is satisfied for some constants $C > 0$ and $a \geq 0$. We take $r > 0$ with

$$\log r > \max\{a - \log C, a\}.$$

Then we have

$$r^{-\lambda} < Ce^{-a\lambda} \quad (\lambda \geq 1).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$r^{-|(\sigma', \sigma'')|} < C \exp(-a|(\sigma', \sigma'')|) \leq \|K_\sigma + d(L)\|$$

for any $\sigma \in Z$ with $(\sigma', \sigma'') \neq (0, 0)$. □

Lemma 8.2. *The condition* $(H)'_S$ *is equivalent to the following condition* $(H)''_S$*:*
$(H)''_S$ *There exist constants* $C > 0$ *and* $a \geq 0$ *such that*

$$\|K_\sigma + d(L)\| \geq C \exp(-a|\sigma''|)$$

for all $\sigma \in Z$ *with* $(\sigma', \sigma'') \neq (0, 0)$*.*

Proof. Since

$$\exp(-a|(\sigma', \sigma'')|) \leq \exp(-a|\sigma''|),$$

the condition $(H)''_S$ obviously implies the condition $(H)'_S$.

We suppose that the condition $(H)'_S$ is satisfied. It is trivial that we can take constants $C > 0$ and $a \geq 0$ satisfying the inequality in $(H)''_S$ for any $\sigma \in Z$ with $(\sigma', \sigma'') \neq (0, 0)$ and $\|K_\sigma + d(L)\| > 1$. Therefore, it suffices to consider the condition $(H)''_S$ for the following set

$$\Sigma := \{\sigma \in Z; (\sigma', \sigma'') \neq (0, 0), \|K_\sigma + d(L)\| \leq 1\}.$$

We write $d(L) = (d(L)_1, \ldots, d(L)_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$. For any $\ell = 1, \ldots, m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_j \mathrm{Im}(s_{j\ell})\right| &\leq \left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_j s_{j\ell} - \sigma_{n+\ell}\right| \\ &\leq \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j s_{j\ell} - \sigma_{n+\ell} + d(L)_\ell\right| + |d(L)_\ell| + \left|\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \sigma_j s_{j\ell}\right| \\ &\leq \|K_\sigma + d(L)\| + \|d(L)\| + \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} |s_{j\ell}||\sigma_j| \\ &\leq 1 + \|d(L)\| + \gamma_1|\sigma''|, \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

where we set

$$\gamma_1 := \max\{|s_{j\ell}|; 1 \le j \le n, 1 \le \ell \le m\}.$$

Since $\det(\mathrm{Im}(S_1)) \neq 0$, there exists $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that

$$\max\left\{\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_j \mathrm{Im}(s_{j\ell})\right|; \ell = 1, \ldots, m\right\} \ge \gamma_2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} |\sigma_j|. \tag{8.4}$$

By (8.3) and (8.4) we can take constants $\gamma_1' > 0$ and $\gamma_2' > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} |\sigma_j| \le \gamma_1' + \gamma_2' \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} |\sigma_j|.$$

Let $C > 0$ and $a \ge 0$ be constants satisfying the condition $(H)_S'$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_\sigma + d(L)\| &\ge C \exp(-a|(\sigma', \sigma'')|) \\ &= C \exp\left(-a \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\sigma_i|\right) \exp\left(-a \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} |\sigma_j|\right) \\ &\ge C \exp(-a\gamma_1') \exp\left(-a(1+\gamma_2') \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} |\sigma_j|\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma \in \Sigma$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

9 Cohomology groups

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $L \longrightarrow X$ be a homogeneous line bundle over a toroidal group $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ with $\operatorname{rank}\Gamma = n+m$. We assume that L is not analytically trivial. We consider the condition $(H)_S$ in Section 8 and the set Z defined after Lemma 7.1. Then one of the following cases holds.*
(I) The case that the condition $(H)_S$ is satisfied for $d(L)$.
(i) If $Z = \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$, then $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L)) = 0$ for $p \ge 1$.
(ii) If $Z = \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \setminus \{\sigma_0\}$, then

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L)) \cong H^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{O}) \quad \text{for } p \ge 1,$$

where $\mathbb{T}$ is an m-dimensional complex torus over which X is a principal $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-m}$-bundle.
(II) The case that the condition $(H)_S$ is not satisfied for $d(L)$.
For any p with $1 \le p \le m$, $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L))$ is a non-Hausdorff Fréchet space, then, of infinite dimension.

We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 9.1.

Lemma 9.2. *Under the assumption of Theorem 9.1, $B_{\overline{\partial}_1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L))$ is a closed subspace of $Z_{\overline{\partial}_1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L))$ if and only if the space*

$$\{\Phi_0 \wedge \psi + \overline{\partial}_1 \psi; \psi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p-1})\}$$

is a closed subspace of

$$\{\phi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}); \Phi_0 \wedge \phi + \overline{\partial}_1 \phi = 0\}.$$

Proof. For any sequence $\{\varphi^{(k)}\}$ in $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p-1}(L))$ there exists uniquely a sequence $\{\phi^{(k)}\}$ in $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p-1})$ such that $\varphi^{(k)} = G\phi^{(k)}$ and

$$\overline{\partial}_1 \varphi^{(k)} = G\left(\Phi_0 \wedge \phi^{(k)} + \overline{\partial}_1 \phi^{(k)}\right).$$

Then $\{\overline{\partial}_1 \varphi^{(k)}\}$ converges to some $\eta \in Z_{\overline{\partial}_1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L))$ if and only if $\{\Phi_0 \wedge \phi^{(k)} + \overline{\partial}_1 \phi^{(k)}\}$ converges to τ with $\eta = G\tau$. Therefore there exists $\varphi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p-1}(L))$ with $\eta = \overline{\partial}_1 \varphi$ if and only if there exists $\phi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p-1})$ such that

$$\tau = \Phi_0 \wedge \phi + \overline{\partial}_1 \phi.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 9.1. Take any $\phi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p})$ satisfying (6.7). Then we have the formal solution $\psi = \sum_{\sigma \in Z} \psi^\sigma$ of (7.16) or (7.17), where ψ^σ has the expression (7.9).

(I) We assume that the condition $(H)_S$ is satisfied. By the definition of $j(\sigma)$ we have

$$\left\| \widetilde{K}_\sigma + (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m) \right\| \leq \sqrt{m} \left| \widetilde{K}_{\sigma, j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)} \right|.$$

There exists a positive constant M such that

$$\|K_\sigma + d(L)\| \leq M \left\| \widetilde{K}_\sigma + (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m) \right\|$$

by (8.1). Then we obtain

$$\left| \widetilde{K}_{\sigma, j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)} \right| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m} M} \|K_\sigma + d(L)\|. \tag{9.1}$$

By Lemmas 8.1 and 8.2 we can take $C > 0$ and $a \geq 0$ such that

$$\|K_\sigma + d(L)\| \geq C \exp(-a|\sigma''|) \tag{9.2}$$

for any $\sigma \in Z$ with $(\sigma', \sigma'') \neq (0,0)$. Since $\psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}}$ is defined by (7.14), it follows from (9.1) and (9.2) that

$$\left| \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{m} M}{C} \exp(a|\sigma''|) \left| \phi^\sigma_{j(\sigma) i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \right|$$

for any $\sigma \in Z$ with $(\sigma', \sigma'') \neq (0,0)$. Moreover we have

$$\left| \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{m} M}{m_0} \left| \phi^\sigma_{j(\sigma) i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \right|$$

for any $\sigma \in Z$ with $(\sigma', \sigma'') = (0,0)$ by (8.2). Therefore the series $\psi = \sum_{\sigma \in Z} \psi^\sigma$ converges by Lemma 5.1.

If $Z = \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \setminus \{\sigma_0\}$, then we have

$$\phi = \Phi_0 \wedge \psi + \overline{\partial}_1 \psi + \phi^{\sigma_0}.$$

Therefore $\varphi = G\phi$ is $\overline{\partial}_1$-cohomologous to $G\phi^{\sigma_0}$. Since

$$G\phi^{\sigma_0} = G\mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma_0, t'\rangle + \sqrt{-1}\langle \sigma_0'', t''\rangle)\phi_c^{\sigma_0},$$

we obtain

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L)) \cong H^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{O}).$$

(II) Suppose that the condition $(H)_S$ is not satisfied, but $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}(L))$ is a Hausdorff space for some p with $1 \leq p \leq m$. Then $B_{\overline{\partial}_1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L))$ is a closed subspace of $Z_{\overline{\partial}_1}(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p}(L))$. By Lemmas 8.1 and 8.2 the condition $(H)_S''$ is not satisfied. Then, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\sigma(\nu) = (\sigma(\nu)', \sigma(\nu)'', \sigma(\nu)''') \in Z$ with $(\sigma(\nu)', \sigma(\nu)'') \neq (0,0)$ such that

$$\|K_{\sigma(\nu)} + d(L)\| < \frac{1}{\nu}\exp(-\nu|\sigma(\nu)''|).$$

We set

$$\delta^\sigma := \begin{cases} \frac{\exp(-\nu|\sigma(\nu)''|)}{\widetilde{K}_{\sigma(\nu),j(\sigma(\nu))} + \beta_{j(\sigma(\nu))}} & \text{if } \sigma = \sigma(\nu) \text{ for some } \nu, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any $\sigma \in Z$ we take $i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{p-1} \leq m$ such that $j(\sigma) \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\}$, and we define

$$\psi^\sigma := \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}},$$

where

$$\psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} = \delta^\sigma \mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma, t'\rangle + \sqrt{-1}\langle \sigma'', t''\rangle).$$

We consider the formal sum $\psi := \sum_{\sigma \in Z} \psi^\sigma$. Since

$$\frac{\exp(-\nu|\sigma(\nu)''|)}{\left|\widetilde{K}_{\sigma(\nu),j(\sigma(\nu))} + \beta_{j(\sigma(\nu))}\right|} > \nu,$$

ψ does not converge. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_0 \wedge \psi^\sigma + \overline{\partial}_1 \psi^\sigma \\ &= \left(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)}\right) \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_{j(\sigma)} \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\}} \left(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma,j} + \beta_j\right) \psi^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_j \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma(\nu),j} + \beta_j\right) \delta^{\sigma(\nu)}\right| &\leq \left|\left(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma(\nu),j(\sigma(\nu))} + \beta_{j(\sigma(\nu))}\right) \delta^{\sigma(\nu)}\right| \\ &= \exp(-\nu|\sigma(\nu)''|), \end{aligned}$$

$\sum_{\sigma \in Z}(\Phi_0 \wedge \psi^\sigma + \overline{\partial}_1 \psi^\sigma)$ converges. By Lemma 9.2 there exists $\lambda \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^{0,p-1})$ such that if we set

$$\phi := \sum_{\sigma \in Z}(\Phi_0 \wedge \psi^\sigma + \overline{\partial}_1 \psi^\sigma),$$

then $\phi = \Phi_0 \wedge \lambda + \overline{\partial}_1 \lambda$. We express ϕ as in (7.4) and (7.5). We expand λ as $\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}} \lambda^\sigma$, where

$$\lambda^\sigma = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{p-1} \leq m} \lambda^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}},$$

$$\lambda^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} = b^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \mathbf{e}(\langle \sigma, t' \rangle + \sqrt{-1} \langle \sigma'', t'' \rangle), \quad b^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For any $\sigma \in Z$ we have

$$\Phi_0 \wedge \psi^\sigma + \overline{\partial}_1 \psi^\sigma = \Phi_0 \wedge \lambda^\sigma + \overline{\partial}_1 \lambda^\sigma. \tag{9.3}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_0 \wedge \lambda^\sigma + \overline{\partial}_1 \lambda^\sigma \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{p-1} \leq m} \Big\{ \Big(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma, j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)} \Big) \lambda^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_{j(\sigma)} \\ &\qquad + \sum_{j \notin \{j(\sigma), i_1, \ldots, i_{p-1}\}} \Big(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma, j} + \beta_j \Big) \lambda^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} d\overline{z}_j \Big\} \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $d\overline{z}_{j(\sigma)} \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}_{i_{p-1}}$ in both sides of (9.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma, j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)} \Big) \delta^\sigma \\ &= \Big(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma, j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)} \Big) b^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (-1)^k \Big(\widetilde{K}_{\sigma, i_k} + \beta_{i_k} \Big) b^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{k-1} j(\sigma) i_{k+1} \ldots i_{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\delta^\sigma = b^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (-1)^k \frac{\widetilde{K}_{\sigma, i_k} + \beta_{i_k}}{\widetilde{K}_{\sigma, j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)}} b^\sigma_{i_1 \ldots i_{k-1} j(\sigma) i_{k+1} \ldots i_{p-1}}.$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{\widetilde{K}_{\sigma, i_k} + \beta_{i_k}}{\widetilde{K}_{\sigma, j(\sigma)} + \beta_{j(\sigma)}} \right| \leq 1$$

and $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}} \lambda^\sigma$ converges, $\sum_{\sigma \in Z} \psi^\sigma$ must converge. This is a contradiction. □

10 Examples

In this section we give examples which show that each of the cases in Theorem 9.1 really occurs.

Example 10.1. Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of rank 3 in $\mathbb{C}^2$ whose period matrix is

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \sqrt{-1}\alpha \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ is a toroidal group for the irrationality condition (IS) is fulfilled. Let $z = (z_1, z_2)$ be standard coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with respect to P.

We write $P = (e_1, e_2, s_1)$, where $s_1 = {}^t(s_{11}, s_{21}) = {}^t(\sqrt{-1}\alpha, \sqrt{-1})$. We set $s_2 = {}^t(s_{12}, s_{22}) := {}^t(0, \sqrt{-1})$. Let $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$ be real coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with respect to $\{e_1, e_2, s_1, s_2\}$. In this case the matrices A, B and C in Section 2 are as follows:

$$A = (\mathrm{Re}(s_{ij})) = 0, \quad B = (\mathrm{Im}(s_{ij})) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ we have

$$K_{\sigma,1} = \sqrt{-1}(\sigma_1 \alpha + \sigma_2) - \sigma_3.$$

Furthermore we have $K_\sigma = K_{\sigma,1}$ and $\widetilde{K}_\sigma = \widetilde{K}_{\sigma,1} = \pi K_\sigma \alpha$.

We consider a homomorphism $d : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $d(e_1) = d(e_2) = 0$ and $d(s_1) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. Let L be the homogeneous line bundle over X given by a representation $\rho(\gamma) = \mathbf{e}(d(\gamma))$ $(\gamma \in \Gamma)$. In this case we have $\alpha_1 = -\sqrt{-1}(d(s_1)/\alpha)$, $\beta_1 = -(\pi d(s_1))/\alpha$ and $d(L) = -d(s_1)$. Then we obtain

$$K_\sigma + d(L) = \sqrt{-1}(\sigma_1 \alpha + \sigma_2) - (\sigma_3 + d(s_1)).$$

Since the equality $\widetilde{K}_\sigma + \beta_1 = 0$ is equivalent to $K_\sigma + d(L) = 0$, we have $Z = \mathbb{Z}^3$. We note

$$|K_\sigma + d(L)| \geq |\sigma_1 \alpha + \sigma_2|.$$

We assume that α is an algebraic number. By Liouville's theorem we see at once that the condition $(H)_S$ is satisfied. This is an example for the case (i) in (I) in Theorem 9.1.

Example 10.2. We consider a period matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}.$$

It is easily seen that $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ is a toroidal group, where Γ is the discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^2$ given by P. As in Example 10.1 we write $P = (e_1, e_2, s_1)$. Take a homomorphism $d : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $d(e_1) = d(e_2) = 0$ and $d(s_1) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. Then a representation $\rho = \mathbf{e}(d)$ of Γ defines a homogeneous line bundle L over X. We obtain

$$K_\sigma + d(L) = \sqrt{-1}\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \alpha - \sigma_3 - d(s_1)$$

by a straight calculation.

We assume that α is an algebraic number. We set $d(s_1) := \alpha$. By Liouville's theorem there exist $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|q\alpha - p| \geq \frac{C}{|q|^N}$$

for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $q \neq 0$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |K_\sigma + d(L)| &\geq |(\sigma_2 - 1)\alpha - \sigma_3| \\ &\geq \frac{C}{|\sigma_2 - 1|^N} \\ &\geq Ce^{-N} \exp(-N|\sigma_2|) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ with $\sigma_2 \neq 1$. This is the condition $(H)''_S$. Moreover, for $\sigma_0 = (0,1,0)$ we have

$$\widetilde{K}_{\sigma_0} + \beta_1 = \pi(K_{\sigma_0} + d(L)) = 0.$$

Example 10.3. The following example is a modification of Vogt's example ([10]). In Example 10.2 we set

$$\alpha = d(s_1) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 10^{(-10^{j!})}.$$

For any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$q_\nu := 10^{\nu!} 10^{10^{\nu!}} \quad \text{and} \quad p_\nu := 10^{\nu!} 10^{10^{\nu!}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} 10^{(-10^{j!})} \right).$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|q_\nu \alpha - p_\nu| \leq C \exp(-q_\nu^2)$$

for sufficiently large ν. We set

$$\sigma^{(\nu)} = (\sigma_1^{(\nu)}, \sigma_2^{(\nu)}, \sigma_3^{(\nu)}) := (0, q_\nu + 1, p_\nu)$$

for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. We suppose that the condition $(H)_S$ is satisfied. By Lemmas 8.1 and 8.2 we can take constants $\widetilde{C} > 0$ and $\widetilde{a} \geq 0$ such that

$$|K_\sigma + d(L)| \geq \widetilde{C} \exp(-\widetilde{a}|\sigma_2|)$$

for $\sigma \in Z$ with $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \neq (0,0)$. Especially the above inequality holds for $\sigma^{(\nu)}$. On the other hand, we have

$$|K_{\sigma^{(\nu)}} + d(L)| = |\sigma_2^{(\nu)} \alpha - \sigma_3^{(\nu)} - \alpha| = |q_\nu \alpha - p_\nu|.$$

Then we obtain

$$0 < \frac{\widetilde{C}}{C} \leq \exp\left(-q_\nu^2 + \widetilde{a}(q_\nu + 1)\right)$$

for sufficiently large ν, which is impossible. Thus we conclude that the condition $(H)_S$ is not satisfied.

References

[1] Y. Abe, Homogeneous line bundles over a toroidal group, Nagoya Math. J., **116**, (1989), 17–24.

[2] Y. Abe, $\mathfrak{o}_{K_0}$-quasi-abelian varieties with complex multiplication, Forum Math., **25**, (2013), 677–702.

[3] Y. Abe, Quasi-abelian varieties given by certain algebraic number fields, Toyama Math. J., **36**, (2013·2014), 27–36.

[4] Y. Abe and K. Kopfermann, Toroidal Groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1759**, Springer, Berlin, 2001.

[5] H. Kazama, $\overline{\partial}$-Cohomology of (H, C)-groups, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **20**, (1984), 297–317.

[6] H. Kazama and T. Umeno, Dolbeault isomorphisms for holomorphic vector bundles over holomorphic fiber spaces and applications, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **45**, (1993), 121–130.

[7] K. Kopfermann, Maximale Untergruppen abelscher komplexer Liescher Gruppen, Schr. Math. Inst. Univ. Münster, **29**, (1964).

[8] A. Morimoto, Non-compact complex Lie groups without non-constant holomorphic functions, Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Minneapolis, 1965, 256–272.

[9] A. Morimoto, On the classification of non-compact complex abelian Lie groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **123**, (1966), 200–228.

[10] Ch. Vogt, Line bundles on toroidal groups, J. reine angew. Math., **335**, (1982), 197–215.

Graduate School of Science and Engineering for Research
University of Toyama
Toyama 930-8555, Japan
e-mail: abe@sci.u-toyama.ac.jp